| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|--|
| | 10/520,749 | GUMPOLTSBERGER, GERHARD |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Edwin A. Young | 3681 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application | n: |
| (1) Edwin A. Young. | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Michael Bujold</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 11 December 2006 | Time: <u>10:50 am</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ App Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | licant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: 26, 29 and 39 Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GET See Continuation Sheet | NERAL NATURE OF WHAT | WAS DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ | The examiner will provide a e record of the substance of | written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | · | |
| Edwin Yam | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica | ant/Applicant's Representati | ve Signature – if appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An examiner's amendment regarding claims 26, 29 and 39. Claim 26, lines 1-2 "transmission of one of the claim 23" was agreed to be changed to - -transmission of claim 23- -. Claim 29, line 3 "the the input shaft" was agreed to be changed to - -the input shaft- -. Claim 39, line 2 "is located one at least one" was agreed to be changed to - -is located on at least one- -..